

July 28, 2014

RECEIVED

AUG 01 2014

To the Court Clerk:

Re: English v Federal National Mortgage Association
13 CV-2028 (CCC) (JBC)

AT 8:30 _____^{PM}
WILLIAM T. WALSH, CLERK

Please replace these two pages with the relevant pages in my recent submission
on July 21, 2014.

I thought it better to do a Motion, rather than just a response and objection.

Sorry for the inconvenience.

Thank you so much.

Respectfully,



Marilynn English Pro Se
97 Pease Avenue
Verona, NJ 07044

Marilynn English, Pro Se
97 Pease Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

MARILYNN ENGLISH

Plaintiff

Honorable Claire C. Cecchi, U.S.D.J.

Civil Action No. 13 CV 2028 (CCC) (JBC)

v.

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE
ASSOCIATION, FEDERAL HOME LOAN
MORTGAGE CORPORATION, BANK OF
AMERICA N.A.

Defendants

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' BANK OF
AMERICA, N.A. AND FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION'S
MEMORANDUM OF LAW DISPUTING THEIR MOTION TO DISMISS
PLAINTIFF'S THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Marilynn English *Pro Se*
97 Pease Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044
973 857 3922
lishmar@aol.com

Marilynn English, Pro Se
97 Pease Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

MARILYNN ENGLISH

Plaintiff

Honorable Claire C. Cecchi, U.S.D.J.

Civil Action No. 13 CV 2028 (CCC) (JBC)

v.

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE
ASSOCIATION, FEDERAL HOME LOAN
MORTGAGE CORPORATION, BANK OF
AMERICA N.A.

Defendant

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. AND
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE
ASSOCIATION'S MEMORANDUM
OF LAW DISPUTING THEIR
MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S
THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Judicial Notice should be taken that Plaintiff did not request a removal to Federal Court as noted in the Docket Text dated 04/01/2013. The Defendants requested the movement to Federal Court. The Docket Report is incorrect.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss. Though a complaint need not contain "*detailed factual allegations*" to be sufficient, it must go beyond mere "*labels and conclusions*". See Casias v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. 695 F. 3d 428, 435 (6th Cir. 2012), Bell Atl. Corp v Twombly, 550 .S. 544, 555, 127 S. Ct. 1955 167 L. Ed. 2d 929 (2007), and Conlin v. Mortgage Electronic Registration Sys. 714 F. 3d